### TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS

# **PCT**

#### RAPPORT PRÉLIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITÉ

(chapitre I du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets)

(règle 44*bis* du PCT)

Voir le point 4 ci-dessous

POUR SUITE À DONNER

21783PC ATOR  Demande internationale no.	Data du dánôt international (igu	rlmais/annáa)	Date de priorité (jour/mois/année)
PCT/FR2004/003169	Date du dépôt international (jour 09 December 2004 (09.12.20	•	31 December 2003 (31.12.2003)
Classification internationale des bre oir les informations pertinentes da	vets (8 <sup>e</sup> edition, sauf indication d'une	e #dition ant#rie	ure)
Péposant	ns to formulation C17157 W257		
RKEMA FRANCE	·		
	·		
	•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aire international sur la brevetabilité la recherche internationale selon la 1		Etabli par le Bureau international au nom de
	•		
2. Ce RAPPORT comprend un	total de 5 feuilles, y compris la pré	sente feuille de d	couverture.
Dans les feuilles jointes, tou	te référence à l'opinion écrite de l'a	dministration ch	argée de la recherche internationale doit être
entendue, à la place, comme	une référence au rapport préliminat	ire international	sur la brevelabilite (chapitre 1).
3. Le présent rapport contient d	des indications relatives aux points s	suivants :	
Cadre n° I	Base de l'opinion		
Cadre n° Π	Priorité		
Cadre n° III	Absence de formulation d'op d'application industrielle	pinion quant à la	nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité
Cadre n° IV	Absence d'unité de l'inventi	ion	
Cadre n° V		· ·	ent à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la les et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration
Cadre n° VI	Certains documents cités		
Cadre n° VII	Certaines irrégularités relevé	ées dans la dema	inde internationale
Cadre n° VIII	Certaines observations relati	ives à la demand	e internationale
	•		•
4. Le Bureau international com	nmuniquera le présent rapport aux o	ffices désignés c	conformément aux règles 44bis.3.c) et 93bis.1
mais pas avant l'expiration o requête expresse à cet égard	du délai de 30 mois à compter de la	date de priorité	(règle 44 <i>bis</i> .2), sauf si le déposant a présenté une
•	-	***	•
		Date d'établisser 29 August 2006	nent du présent rapport 6 (29.08.2006)
Bureau internation		Fonctionnaire au	
34, chemin des 1211 Geneva 20	Colombettes		Athina Nickitas-Etienne

e-mail: pt04@wipo.int

Formulaire PCT/IB/373 (janvier 2004)

no de télécopieur +41 22 338 82 70

Référence du dossier du déposant ou

du mandataire

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) See Form PCT/ISA/210 Date of mailing (day/month/year) (sheet 2) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 21783PC ATOR See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) 09.12.2004 31.12.2003 PCT/FR2004/003169 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C08J5/18, B32B27/14, D06N7/00 Applicant **ARKEMA** This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003169

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed attion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material .
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	tional comments:
:	•	

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003169

citations and explanations sug			pporting such statement	
1.	Statement			•
	Novelty (N)	Claims	9-14,17-20	YES
		Claims	1-8,15,16	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	•	YES
		Claims	1-20	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES
		Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1 = US-A-4 504 528

D2 = WO-A-99/00344

D3 = US-A-3 962 373

D4 = JP-A-53-10 799

D5 = DE-A-42 25 952

D6 = WO-A-99/52971

- 1. The subject matter of claims 1 to 8, 15 and 16 of the application does not appear to be novel (PCT Article 33(2)) compared with the laminates, comprising a layer of fluoropolymer and a sheet of carbon fibres, and the process for preparing them that are disclosed in documents D1 and D3 to D5 (see the passages cited in the search report).
- 2. The subject matter of claims 9 to 14 and 17 to 20 do not seem to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) for the person skilled in the art, since this subject matter follows in an obvious manner from the prior art: D1 teaches the use of laminates comprising a layer of fluoropolymer and a sheet of carbon fibres as coatings

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY.

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003169

Box No. V Reasoned sta

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

(liners) in corrosive media (see in particular, column 3, lines 14 to 22), the corrosive media described in D2 constituting merely one example thereof. A person skilled in the art would therefore implement the claimed subject matter in the course of his routine activities seeking a useful application of the teaching of document D1.

3. Should the applicant file amendments with the International Bureau in accordance with PCT Article 19(1), they must take care in order to ensure that the amendments in question do not extend the subject matter of the application beyond the content of the application as filed, in accordance with the provisions of PCT Article 19(2).